

REMARKS

The listing of references in the specification was held not to be a proper information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. §1.98(b). Claims 1 to 4, 6, 7, 11, and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Snider (U.S. Patent No. 6,677,846). Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Snider in view of Chlad (U.S. Patent No. 4,323,329).

The specification has been amended. An information disclosure statement was filed and considered in the parent application, and thus it was assumed the references would be considered. However, a new information disclosure statement listing references from the parent application is filed herewith to ensure consideration.

Claims 1 to 7, 11 and 12 have been amended. Claims 13 to 18 have been amended.

Reconsideration of the application based on the following is respectfully requested

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification was held not to be a proper information disclosure statement. An information disclosure statement was submitted and considered in the parent application No. 10/213,534, now U.S. Patent No. 6,672,209, and according to MPEP 609 I A 2, an information disclosure statement in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.98 filed and considered in the parent application will be considered in a divisional application. References from the parent application are being submitted herewith in a new information disclosure statement and applicants respectfully request consideration of the information disclosure statement.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1 to 4, 6, 7, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(c) as being anticipated by Snider (U.S. Patent No. 6,677,846).

Claims 1 and 11 have now been amended to positively recite a plate cylinder as part of the printing press, as shown for example in Fig. 3 and described in [0031]. Snider does not show such a plate cylinder.

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Claims 2 and 12 now recite that the cylinders move the tucker bar toward the plate cylinder. Snider does not show this feature.

Withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102 is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Snider in view of Chlad (U.S. Patent No. 4,323,329).

In view of the amendments with respect to claim 1, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

New Claim 18

New claim 18 recites an offset lithographic printing press as described in [0031] and also recites that the actuator has at least one actuator with a piston as described in [0026].

Appl. No. 10/705,452
Amdt. dated December 1, 2004
Reply to Office Action of June 3, 2004

CONCLUSION

The present application is respectfully submitted as being in condition for allowance and applicants respectfully request such action.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC

By: 

William C. Gehris
Reg. No. 38,156

DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC
Patents, Trademarks and Copyrights
485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10018
(212) 736-1940